

Mathematics Implementation Study

Final Report

June 2000



Deborah J. Holtzman
Tania J. Madfes
Steven A. Schneider



Vi-Nhuan Le
Daniel McCaffrey
Marika Suttorp
Laura Hamilton
Stephen Klein



James R. Smith
Gerald C. Hayward
Naomi Calvo

California Department of Education

Delaine Eastin, State Superintendent of Public Instruction
William Padia, Director, Office of Policy and Evaluation
Larry Boese, Contract Monitor, Office of Policy and Evaluation
<http://www.cde.ca.gov/ope/>

Research Team

WestEd

Deborah J. Holtzman
Tania J. Madfes
Steven A. Schneider

RAND

Vi-Nhuan Le
Daniel McCaffrey
Marika Suttorp
Laura Hamilton
Stephen Klein

Management Analysis and Planning, Inc. (MAP)

James R. Smith
Gerald C. Hayward
Naomi Calvo

935 El Camino Real
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1400
<http://www.wested.org/>

1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, CA 90407
(310) 393-0411
<http://www.rand.org/>

508 2nd Street, Suite 205
Davis, CA 95616
(530) 753-3130
<http://www.edconsultants.com/>

Advisory Group

Joan Akers
Mathematics Researcher

Kathlan Latimer
Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District

Judy Anderson/Ruth Cossey
California Math Council

Robert Manwaring
Office of the Legislative Analyst

Nicholas Branca
San Diego State University

Donna O'Neil/Chris Westphal
San Juan Unified School District

Maria Carroll
Oakland Unified School District

Special thanks to Gloria Guth, Brenda Hamilton, Jeanne Elliott, Patty Armstrong, Ann Muench, David Ditman, Pam Tyson, Marianne Smith, Lori Riehl, Jackie Vargo, and all others who assisted with the project and the preparation of this report.

The research reported in this document was supported by a contract to WestEd from the California Department of Education, Office of Policy and Evaluation (Contract #7398, "Mathematics Implementation Study"). The views expressed in this report are those of its authors and are not necessarily shared by the California Department of Education.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	v
Executive Summary	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Methodology	3
Chapter 3: Instructional Practices and Effectiveness	13
Chapter 4: Curriculum Materials	33
Chapter 5: Content Standards	53
Chapter 6: Assessment	69
Chapter 7: Professional Development	81
Chapter 8: Structural and Student-Related Influences on Instruction	99
Chapter 9: Recommendations and Conclusions	113
References	121
Appendix A: RAND Report	A1
Appendix B: Instruments and Protocols	B1
Appendix C: Profiles of Selected Top-Quartile Classes	C1
Appendix D: Findings from the Grade 10 Exploratory Study	D1
Appendix E: Additional Figures	E1

(This page intentionally left blank.)

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Fourth-Grade Visited Schools’ 1999 Academic Performance Index Rankings	8
Figure 2.2: Eighth-Grade Visited Schools’ 1999 Academic Performance Index Rankings	9
Figure 3.1: Mean, Standard Deviation, and Reliability Coefficient for Each of the Seven “Practices” Questionnaire Scales at Grades 4 and 8	17
Figure 3.2: Achievement Quartiles of the Observed Classes.....	21
Figure 3.3: Responses to the Instructional Effectiveness Survey Question by the Teachers of the Top Ten Classes	26
Figure 3.4: Teachers’ Top-Ranked Objectives for Mathematics Instruction.....	29
Figure 4.1: Eighth-Grade Courses in Survey Sample	37
Figure 4.2: Use of the Adopted Program in Selected Survey Districts, as Reported in Survey Question 20b	39
Figure 4.3: Percentage of Fourth-Grade Teachers Reporting Various Programs as Their Most Used Curriculum Resource (Survey Question 20b).....	41
Figure 5.1: Percentage of Teachers Who Reported That Particular Documents Have Influenced Their Teaching	61
Figure 7.1: Reported Number of Hours Spent in <i>All Types</i> of Mathematics Professional Development, January 1998–Spring 1999.....	84
Figure 7.2: Reported Amount of Professional Development in Mathematics <i>Content</i> , January 1998–Spring 1999	85
Figure 7.3: Eighth-Grade Mathematics Teachers’ Credentials.....	87
Figure 7.4: Reported Amount of Professional Development in Mathematics Standards (State and/or District) or Framework, January 1998–Spring 1999.....	89
Figure 7.5: Reported Amount of Professional Development in Mathematics Instructional Techniques or Strategies, January 1998–Spring 1999	89
Figure 7.6: Reported Frequency of Teachers Sharing Ideas About Mathematics Instruction	90
Figure 7.7: Reported Frequency of Teachers Working Together to Develop Mathematics Curriculum	91
Figure 7.8: Reported Frequency of Teachers Observing One Another Teaching Mathematics	91

(This page intentionally left blank.)