

References

- Anderson, J. (1998). Letter to Yvonne Larsen, Chairman of the State Board of Education, on behalf of the members of the California Mathematics Council. November 10, 1998.
- Anderson, N. (1998, December 11). State school board OKs back to basics for math. *Los Angeles Times*, Sec. A.
- Becker, J. P. & Jacob, B. (2000). The politics of California school mathematics: The anti-reform of 1997–99. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 81(7), 529–537.
- Boser, U. (1999, June 23). Study finds mismatch between California standards and assessments. *Education Week*, 18(41), 10.
- California Department of Education. (1998). *Establishing district standards “at least as rigorous as” California’s state standards*. Sacramento, CA: Author.
- California Department of Education. (1999, February.) *Instructional materials in California: An overview of standards, curriculum frameworks, instructional materials adoptions, and funding*. Manuscript in preparation.
- California State Board of Education (1999). *Mathematics content standards for California public schools: Kindergarten through grade twelve*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education.
- Class size reduction for grades K–3. (2000). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education. <http://www.cde.ca.gov/classize>
- Criteria for evaluating mathematics instructional resources, adopted by State Board of Education December 1998. (2000). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education. <http://www.cde.ca.gov/cilbranch/eltdiv/2001mathcriteria.html>
- Cohen, D.K., & Hill, H.C. (1998). *State policy and classroom performance: Mathematics reform in California* (CPRE Policy Brief). Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.
- Ginsburg-Block, M.D., & Fantuzzo, J.W. (1998). An evaluation of the relative effectiveness of NCTM standards-based interventions for low achieving urban elementary students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 560-569.

- Guth, J. A., Holtzman, D. J., Schneider, S. A., Carlos, L., Smith, J. R., Hayward, G. C., & Calvo, N. (1999). *Evaluation of California's standards-based accountability system: Final report*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education.
<http://www.wested.org/wested/pubs/online/accountability/>
- Hartocollis, A. (2000, April 27). The new, flexible math meets parental rebellion. *The New York Times*, pp. A1, B5.
- Hoff, D. (1999, June 23). California approves math, English textbooks tied to standards. *Education Week*, 18(41), 10.
- Martinez, A. (1999, July 27). The great math divide: Dismal scores reveal how far California schools lag in achieving standards called among toughest in U.S. *San Jose Mercury News*, pp. A1, back page.
- Martinez, J.G.R. & Martinez, N.C. (1998). In defense of mathematics reform and the NCTM's Standards. *Mathematics Teacher*, 91(9), 746-748.
- Mathematics framework for California public schools: Kindergarten through grade twelve. (1985).* Sacramento: California Department of Education.
- Mathematics framework for California public schools: Kindergarten through grade twelve. (1992).* Sacramento: California Department of Education.
- Mathematics framework for California public schools: Kindergarten through grade twelve. (1999).* Sacramento: California Department of Education.
- Mathematics program advisory. (1996).* Sacramento: California Department of Education, California Commission on Teacher Credentialing, and California State Board of Education.
- Mayer, D. P. (1999). Measuring instructional practice: Can policymakers trust survey data? *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 21(1), 29-45.
- Mervis, J. (2000). Packard heir signs up for national "math wars." *Science*, 280 (11 February 2000), 956-959.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (1989). *Curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.
- O'Neil, J. (2000). Fads and fireflies: The difficulties of sustaining change. *Educational Leadership*, 57(7), 6-9.

- Pupil promotion and retention: Information and resources.* (2000). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education. <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ppr/>
- Stipek, D., Salmon, J.M., Givvin, K.B., Kazemi, E., Saxe, G., & MacGyvers, V.L. (1998). The value (and convergence) of practices suggested by motivation research and promoted by mathematics education reformers. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 29(4), 465-488.
- Unz, R.K., & Tuchman, G.M. (1997). *The Unz Initiative (for June, 1998 California Ballot)*. <http://www.catesol.org/unztext.html>
- U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement. (1997). Introduction to TIMSS: The Third International Mathematics and Science Study. In *Attaining excellence: TIMSS as a starting point to examine U.S. Education*. Washington, D.C.: Author.
- Verschaffel, L. & DeCorte, E. (1997). Teaching realistic mathematical modeling in the elementary school: A teaching experiment with fifth-graders. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 28, 577-601.
- Webb, N.M., & Palincsar, A.S. (1996). Group processes in the classroom. In D.C. Berliner & R.C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of Educational Psychology* (pp. 841-873). New York: Macmillan.
- Wenglinsky, H. (1999). *Does it compute? The relationship between educational technology and student achievement in mathematics* (ETS Policy Information Report). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

(This page intentionally left blank.)